

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,417	12/15/2003	Eiichi Terada	13693 6336		
7590 12/01/2006			EXAMINER		
ORUM & ROTH			WEISKOPF, MARIE		
53 W. JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3661		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/737,417	TERADA, EIICHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marie A. Weiskopf	3661		

	Marie A. vveiskopt	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	corréspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further comes (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	11	
		Mude	-N

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) THOMAS BLACK
THOMAS BLACK
EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
Part of Paper No. 20061129

Continuation of 3. NOTE: inhibiting the drive system switching based on the one parameter alone as to weather the detected steering angle is over a predetermined angle would require further consideration and search. Further, inhibiting the drive system manual switching step would require further consideration and search.